

## MEASUREMENT QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Bathroom Scale Tales Why We Believe the Laboratory's Results... and Why We Trust the Market Place When We Buy a Chicken for Dinner.



## **OVERVIEW**

Defining measurements
 Role of the Laboratory
 Data Validation
 Measurement Error
 Interpreting the Data



# **TWO BIG QUESTIONS**

Are the data acceptable?
What do the data mean?



# MEASUREMENT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of a measurement are to answer the questions *And the sample? And the sample? And the sample?* 

The objectives of the measurement process are *control sources of error provide data for scientifically valid conclusions.* 



#### **MEASUREMENT ERROR**

ALL measurements have:
Systematic error (bias)
Random error

# **EXAMPLE EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT**

# SYSTEMATIC ERROR

 Invariant
 Determinant
 Controllable
 Sources: Contamination Calibration Matrix



# **RANDOM ERROR**

 Variable
 Indeterminant
 Not controllable
 Sources: Methodology
 Murphy



## VALIDATION are the data acceptable?

 Precision = Duplicates
 Accuracy = LCS, SPIKES
 Background = Blanks
 Detection = DL Studies
 Calibration = Standards



# PRECISION

 agreement of observations
 Percentage
 (Dup Differences)/(Dup Average)

Measure of reproducibility
 Measure of random error

# Scale tale #1 A Story of precision What is my weight? Trial (#) Weight (pounds) 1 160 2 158 3 159 Avg = 160 162 Stdev =

Rand error: variability = 1 pound Prec = 100 x std dev/average = 100 x 1/160 = 0.6% Conclusion: I weigh 160 plus or minus one pound.

#### Tale #2 Do lunches count?

After lunch I weigh 162 pounds – have I gained weight?

A difference of 2 pounds exceeds 1 pound (0.6%), but ... if I use ... control limits

UCL = Avg + 3 x Stdev = 160 + 3 x 1 pounds = 163 pounds

Whew! Weight change is under the upper control limit.

#### Tale #3 A story of Accuracy something is wrong!!! My weight on a friend's scales = 170 pounds!!!

Sack of potatoes stamped: IDAHO GROWN \*\* 100 pounds \*\* (SRM CERTIFIED!) Scale results: 110 pounds Accuracy = 100 x (110/100) = 110%

Conclusion: keep my friend, but don't use the scales.

Tale #4 BLANKS when something should be nothing

Friends scales again:

Scale reading with nothing added = 10 pounds

Systematic bias = 10 pounds

Corrective action: recalibrate scales

**Tale #5** what **is** my weight? True = Scales +/- error Error = systematic (bias) + random Conclusion (using friend's scales) True = scales – bias +/- random = 170 - 10 + / - 3157 # < true weight < 163 #

Tale #6 it's 100% recovered but inaccurate Friends scales again

Body weight = 170 # Body + 100 pound SRM = 270 # Recovery = (270-170)/100 = 100%

Scale reading of 100 # weight = 110 # Accuracy = 100 x 110/100 = 110%

#### Tale #7 Even when I swim?

My weight in water: 100 # My weight + 100 # SRM: 162 #

Recovery = <u>100x(spiked-</u> <u>unspiked)</u>

> spiking amount = 100 x (162 -

100)/100

= 62%

Conclusion: Matrix effect reduces measurement to 62% of matrix-free value Corrective Action: don't weigh yourself in the bathtub. ebmud.com

#### Tale #8 How low can you go?

Less than detection: anything below the upper control limit for zero

MDL = 3.14 x standard deviation of seven method blanks or seven low level replicates

Control measure for data censure

Includes random error only (usually)

#### Tale #8 (cont) How low can you go?

How to determine an MDL for my bathroom scales:

Repeatedly weigh a one pound SRM seven (or more) times 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1 pounds

Average = 1 pound Standard deviation = 0.6 pounds MDL = 3.14 x 0.6 = 1.8 ~ 2 pounds

#### Tale #9 weigh my rat

Rat is weighed seven times: 0, 0,1,0,0,1,1 (in pounds) Average = 0.4 pounds Std dev = 0.5 pounds

0 < True Weight of Rat < 1.5 UCL < MDL so...

Conclusion: Rat does not exist!

#### CONCLUSIONS

- Measurements have error
- All error can be measured
- Recovery is not accuracy
- Not detected is not zero
- Don't weigh yourself in a bathtub
- Don't discuss weight loss diets with someone who won't calibrate scales