Subcommittee to Evaluate New RMP Revenue Options

Charge: Consider the pros, cons, and viability of a variety of new funding sources and report back the Steering Committee in July. The group will also discuss how to determine an adequate budget amount for the RMP to achieve its mission and whether another program review is needed to answer this question.

Subcommittee Members: Tom Mumley, Adam Olivieri, Peter Carroll, Karin North, Mike Connor, Warner Chabot

Funding Option	Pros	Cons	Viability	Assigned To
Groundwater discharge permits				
State Water Board dedicated funding for SFEI				
and SCCWRP				
Penalty funds (e.g., fines)				
Prop 1 Funding				
Coastal Commission parcel tax (relevant to				
testing shoreline environments created using				
dredged material)				
Shipping fees (relating to any discharge, plumes)				
Revenue from any RB action (wetland permits,				
monitoring permits. cleanup and abatement				
orders)				
Increasing pre-treatment fees for industrial				
dischargers to POTWs				
Legacy marine yards, steel foundries and				
industrial sites along the edge of the Bay				
Sand mining (discharge of decant water)				
Hazardous waste site monitoring				
Ensure that list of NPDES industrial dischargers				
paying RMP fees is up-to-date				
Oil Spill or NRDA grants for baseline monitoring				