



## RMP Contaminant Fate Work Group Meeting

September 14<sup>th</sup>, 2007

San Francisco Estuary Institute

First Floor Conference Room

7770 Pardee Lane, Oakland

10:00 AM – 4:00 PM

Lunch will be provided. We will take a short break and then keep working through lunch.

### DRAFT AGENDA

1.	<b>Introductions and Review of Agenda</b> (Attachment) A review of May's meeting minutes will be presented. The goal for today is to develop a five-year plan for the workgroup.	10:00 Meg Sedlak
2.	<b>Developing a Five-year Plan for the RMP</b> Overview of the RMP process for developing a master five-year plan for the Program, and how the CFWG plan fits in. Review of RMP Objectives and Management Questions, and the questions developed for the mercury strategy.	10:10 Jay Davis
3.	<b>Developing a Five-year Plan for Fate Studies in the RMP</b> (Attachment) <b>Desired outcome:</b> Input from the WG on the plan and consensus on a path forward for the general elements of the plan. Questions for the group, to be worked through <u>in this order</u> : <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Are the priorities and questions appropriate?</li><li>2. Have we identified the right workplan elements? Are we missing anything important? Are the possible studies prioritized appropriately?</li><li>3. Are the budget allocations and timing appropriate?</li></ol>	10:20 Don Yee
	<b>Lunch Break</b>	12:00
4.	<b>Identifying High Leverage Processes, Sources, and Pathways of Mercury (Mercury Question 2)</b> This is proposed as a major element of the five-year plan for the CFWG. A variety of approaches are possible. <b>Desired outcome:</b> Guidance from the CFWG is needed to select or devise the best approach possible given current understanding.	12:30 Don Yee and Group

5.	<p><b>Determining Where Mercury is Entering the Food Web (Mercury Question 1)</b>  Small fish monitoring overseen by the Exposure and Effects Workgroup is proposed as a tool for evaluating this. Alternatives should be discussed before moving forward with this approach.  <b>Desired outcome:</b> Guidance from the CFWG is needed to select or devise the best approach possible given current understanding.</p>	2:30 Jay Davis and Group
6.	<p><b>Methylmercury Modeling Strategy</b>  <b>Desired outcome:</b> Discussion and guidance from the CFWG on the best approach to developing a model for methylmercury. A conceptual model is needed at a minimum. Is a quantitative model possible? Is a mass budget approach appropriate? How much should we invest in such a model, and when? Some funds are available this year to begin the effort.</p>	3:00 Don Yee
7.	<p><b>Adjourn</b></p>	4:00
	<p><b>Items for Next Meeting:</b></p>	