SCCWRP/SFEI Collaborations: Emerging Contaminants

> Susan Klosterhaus Keith Maruya

RMP TRC/SCCWRP CTAG Joint Meeting May 11, 2010





Joint Research Projects (from 2009)

NOAA Mussel Watch Program

- Facilitate incorporation of CECs
- CA-based pilot study
 - Samples from NOAA archives and/or new samples
 - Recommend priority CECs to NOAA
 - e.g. PPCPs, HBCD and other FRs, PFCs
 - Assessment of new techniques
 - passive samplers
 - genomic based technologies

REFOCUSING THE PROGRAM

SCCWRP Commission critiqued Mussel Watch

- Less interested in legacy contaminants needs more focus on CECs
- NOAA held workshop in April 2009
 - How to re-engineer and foster multiagency participation
 - Use results to trigger toxicological studies

Focus 2009-10 effort on CA-based pilot study

- Kickoff meeting in Oct 2009
- Expand analyte list to include high priority CECs
- Relocate some sites to focus on CEC sources
- Investigate new/alternative methods (passive samplers)
- Sampling commenced Dec 2009, to continue through Summer 2010

An early warning system for contaminants of emerging concern (CECs): A multiagency Mussel Watch pilot study in California

> K. Maruya, S. Weisberg Southern California Coastal Water Research Project



CONTRACT OF COMMERCE



K. Kimbrough, G. Lauenstein, J. Christensen National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

D. Gregorio, C. Beegan California Water Resources Control Board

S. Klosterhaus, M. Sedlak, J. Davis San Francisco Estuary Institute

K. Smalling, D. Alvarez, E. Furlong U.S. Geological Survey







"Mussel Watch" A Sentinel for Safe, Healthy & Productive Coasts

Moving Forward Prioritizing the CEC List

- \checkmark Analytical methods established
- Represent a diverse group of threats to the environment, fate & transport mechanisms, and modes of action
- ✓ Potential impacts to ecosystem condition and human health
- ✓ Production scale
- ✓ Regional and National significance
- ✓ Logistics (field & contracts)
- ✓ COST
 - NOAA Mussel Watch brought \$360,000 to the project
 - Partners leveraging funds and providing field support







"Mussel Watch" A Sentinel for Safe, Healthy & Productive Coasts

The New Mussel Watch Contaminant List

✓ Phenolics
 Bisphenol A, Alkylphenols, Triclosan

✓ Perflourinated Compounds PFOS, PFOA

✓ Current use Pesticides: Pyrethroids, triazines, diuron, strobilurin fungicides

✓ Hormones
 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol, 17-beta-estradiol, musks

✓ Pharmaceuticals
 Carbamazepine, Erythromycin, Diazepam, Acetaminophen

✓ Replacement Flame Retardants* PBDEs, TBBPA,TCPP, TCEP, PBEB, TPP, DBDPE, BTBPE

✓ Nanoparticles* Nano-silver, carbon-nano tubes







SFEI/AXYS SFB PILOT STUDY

- Sediment, water, mussels from 5 sites
- PPCP, alkylphenol, PFC data pro bono from AXYS Analytical
- Guide target analytes in statewide effort
- Which ones accumulate in mussels?
- Method development
 - e.g. MDLs, PPCPs in tissue

Timeline

- RMP data review (May-June)
- Data to Mussel Watch group (mid-May)
- Report (late Summer/Fall 2010)



STUDY DESIGN

- *Winter* sampling at <u>existing</u> sites (*n*=69)
 - historic analyses on subset (n=25)
 - stratify by land use (urban; low development; agricultural)
- Summer sampling at <u>targeted</u> sites (n~10)
 - large POTWs
 - stormwater discharge
 - warm season ag runoff
 - employ caged bivalves & PSDs (where necessary)



Existing Urban Sites



NOAA | National Ocean Service | National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science National Status & Trends Program – Mussel Watch

Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in <u>Mytilus spp.</u>



NATIONAL CENTERS FOR COASTAL OCEAN SCIENCE

MW CA Pilot Ag Sites

- Ag dominated watersheds in Southern, Central and San Francisco Bay
- Large suite of unmonitored pesticides applied
 New fungicides (USGS study)
- Winter collection of native mussels at selected sites
- Summer deployment of caged bivalves & passive samplers
 - Mallard Island (Delta runoff)
 - Napa and Petaluma Rivers

POTWs

City of Los Angeles Terminal Island WRP

- 4.5 mgd serving 130K residents
- tertiary treatment + microfiltration/RO
- discharges into outer LA Harbor (15 m)

City of Palo Alto RWQCP

- 40 mgd (capacity) serving ~220K residents
- 100% secondary treatment
- discharges into south SF Bay

San Jose/Santa Clara WPCP

- 167 mgd serving 1.5M residents
- Advanced (tertiary) treatment
- discharges into extreme south SF Bay
- passive samplers only

EXPANDING BEYOND MUSSELS

Passive samplers (POCIS, SPME, PEDs)

- flexibility in timing/location
- easy sample processing

Caged bivalves

- flexibility in timing/location
- historical data & "know how" for SF Bay

New bioscreening technology

 Mytilus microarrays for exposure fingerprinting/toxicity pathway discovery

PROGRESS & SCHEDULE

- Winter collection: 90% success
 - target completion date: May 30
- Summer work to begin June, finish by Sept 2010
- Homogenize & ship tissue to participating labs
 - target completion date: June 30
- Passive samplers deployed @ 7 existing sites
 - co-deployment of caged bivalves; target completion is Aug 30
- Analytical work
 - preliminary results by Oct 2010
 - final results by June 2011

Risk-based prioritization for future monitoring (from 2009 meeting)

- Increase communication on potential target chemicals, collaborative projects
- Fill data gaps (occurrence, fate, effects)
 - e.g. current use pesticides, pharmaceuticals, wildfire-associated flame retardants
- Fish bioaccumulation (SWAMP, BOG)
- POTW effluent/receiving water studies
 - Common or indicator PPCPs
- Refine/implement CEC Workshop recommendations
 - Framework needed for State policies & regional

PRIORITIZING CECs FOR STATE & REGIONAL MONITORING

Increase communication & integration of target constituent "lists"

- Emerging Contaminants Work Group ongoing (SFEI)
- CA CEC Science Advisory Panels (SCCWRP)
 - Ecosystem Panel to meet through 2011
- 2009 CEC Workshop Report

POTW effluent/receiving water studies

- Mussel Watch CA Pilot
- Prioritization Process
 - Wastewater CEC "White Paper" (SFEI)
 - CA CEC Science Advisory Panel Reports (SCCWRP)

CEC SCIENCE ADVISORY PANEL FOR CECs IN RECYCLED WATER

Draft report released for public comment

- final due 6/25/10

Decision making framework

- A tool to prioritize CECs now and into the future

Application to recycled water projects state-wide

- Preliminary CEC monitoring list ("what" to monitor)

Monitoring recommendations and interpretation

– How, where and when to monitor; and how to respond to results

Future recommended activities

Research, support tools and audits to improve & refine the process

APPLICATION OF FRAMEWORK –

CEC LIST FOR GROUNDWATER RECHARGE

Constituent	Chemical Class	Analytical Method	Method Detection Limit (ng/L)
17-β estradiol	Natural hormone	•LC-MS/MS	•<1.0
Caffeine	Food product	•GC-MS	•<350
Triclosan	Personal care product	•LC-MS/MS	•<350

#3: INTERPRETATION OF MONITORING RESULTS

Tier IV: *High* concern – identify sources; take plant off-line

Tier III: *Elevated* concern – confirm levels; expand monitoring; refine risk assessment

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Tier II: *Minimal* concern – continue monitoring to ensure concentrations are not increasing

Tier I: No concern – Discontinue monitoring

RECOMMENDED FUTURE ACTIVITIES

Technical studies to bolster the science

- Improve our methods for targeted chemicals and screening purposes
- Develop a process to predict CEC levels
- Refine our drinking water benchmarks, prioritizing those CECs with increasing occurrence

Programmatic support to manage the process

- Develop a process to manage data & apply framework
- Implement periodic health surveillance activities in areas impacted by water reuse
- Perform independent audit of Panel's initial recommendations
- *Revisit monitoring recommendations every 3-5 years*

Wastewater Contaminants 'White Paper'

Objectives:

-identify CECs that have the greatest potential to impact human health and aquatic life in the Bay and should be considered for future monitoring -communication/outreach, factsheets to WW community and general public

Selection criteria:

- high frequency of detection in municipal wastewater effluent
- high volume use in California
- potentially toxic to wildlife or humans
- low to moderate wastewater treatment removal efficiency
- relatively water soluble (log $K_{ow} < 5$)
- not already part of routine monitoring in San Francisco Bay
- potential for management action

Estimate potential for impacts:

Compare occurrence data to toxicity data

Chemicals to be evaluated

High priority:

Triclosan, triclocarban (antimicrobials) – draft complete Alkylphenols (surfactants) – Fall 2010 Chlorinated OP flame retardants (TDCPP,TCPP,TCEP) – Fall 2010 Carbamazepine (neuroactive compound) – Fall 2010

Others considered:

Sulfamethoxazole (antibiotic) 17-estradiol and 17-ethinyl estradiol (hormones) Atenolol (beta blocker) Gemfibrozil (blood lipid lowering agent) Nanoparticles Quaternary ammonium compounds (surfactants)

Outcomes:

- decision on future monitoring of selected chemicals
- communicate info to WW community, general public (short tech report, factsheets)

Analytical Method Development (from 09)

- Low level method for BFRs/CUPs (SCCWRP)
 - 0.5 ng/g PBDEs, pyrethroids, fipronil + degradates
- Developing GC-MS derivatization methods (SCCWRP)
 - bisphenol A, alkylphenols (e.g. nonylphenol)
 - triclosan
- Can adapt current methods for alternate BFRs (SCCWRP)
 - Tetrabromophthalate, benzoate (Firemaster)
 - Tetrabromobisphenol A
- Chemicals on Muir and Howard list (SCCWRP or other lab)
- Lab inter-calibration exercises with NIST, USGS, academics, contract labs
- Passive sampling methods
 - bioavailable organics, MeHg using SPME, PEDs

ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT

Low level methods published for

- brominated flame retardants (Meng et al. 2009)
- current use pesticides (Lao et al. 2010)

Passive sampling methods for bioavailable organics

Mussel Watch CA Pilot for CECs

Lab intercalibration

- Multilab comparison for pyrethroids in sediment
- Sediment Toxicity Stressor ID Workshop recommendations

ENHANCE USE OF BIOLOGICAL SCREENING

Ultimate endpoint of interest

- Chemical exposure is of lesser concern if the animals are reproducing normally
- A less expensive alternative than measuring several hundred chemicals
 - Easily incorporated into regional monitoring programs
 - More efficiently gauges effectiveness of management action

Need better tools

- Receptor binding assays
- Gene expression microarrays

GENETIC MICROARRAY DEVELOPMENT

- Small and large arrays for fish & inverts are available
 - however most are untested
- Preliminary results suggest field collected fish exhibit different gene expression signatures
- Near term plans
 - Incorporate supporting chemistry data
 - targeted lab studies to distinguish between physical and chemical stress responses
 - New habitats & species (e.g. Mytilus)

MORE IDEAS FOR FUTURE COLLABORATION

- Monitoring threshold development for high priority CECs
 - e.g. PBDEs in bird eggs; marine mammals
- Data management strategies
 - catalog "new" types of data
 - compatibility with RMP, Bight and SWAMP formats
 - Mussel Watch CA Pilot (case study)

Joint Research Projects

Effects threshold development*

- PBDE/nonylphenol fish exposure study
 - Sensitive SF Bay/Bight species
 - Spiked water, sediment, food exposure
 - Endocrine, reproductive endpoints (hormones, gonad anomalies, enzyme activity)
- PBDE threshold development for marine mammals
 - Extrapolation from mammalian/eco risk assessments?
- Sediment TIE studies
 - LC, EC50 for pyrethroids, fipronil, methoprene
 - * Possibly in collaboration with SFEI Exposure & Effects Workgroup

Data Management Strategies

- Help create ambient CEC database & analysis tools
 - Hundreds, potentially thousands of target chemicals
 - Focus on surrogates, indicators
- New & different types of data
 - Sublethal effects, thresholds
 - Use, restrictions, products (Green Chemistry Initiative)
- Collaborative opportunities with
 - CEDEN/SWAMP (SWRCB, SFEI, SCCWRP)
 - Green Chemistry Initiative (DTSC)
 - Drinking/Groundwater Monitoring (DPH)
 - Expert Panels (CA, WERF/Tetra Tech)
 - EPA CCL3